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# STABILITY OF BODIES MADE OF NON-HOMOGENEOUSLY aging anisotropic, viscoelastic material * 

V.D. POTAPOV

Results of the study of stability of compressed rods made of a nonhomogeneously aging viscoelastic material are generalized to the case of an arbitrary body with anisotropy.

Let us consider a body acted upon by volume forces $F$ and surface loads $q$ applied at the boundary of the body $S_{q}$, in an orthogonal $x_{i}(i=1,2,3), \mathbf{F}=\left\{F_{i}\right\}, \mathbf{q}=\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ coordinate system. The points of the body undergo, under the action of these forces, the displacements $u_{i}(t, x)$ determining the trajectory of the unperturbed motion.

Let us assume that in the initial state the body has a small initial distortion $\alpha v_{i}{ }^{\circ}(\mathbf{x})$. In this case the body undergoes additional displacements $\alpha \nu_{i}(t, x)$ so that the total displacement is $u_{i}{ }^{*}=u_{i}+\alpha\left(v_{i}+v_{i}{ }^{\circ}\right)$. The parameter $\alpha$ is introduced arbitrarily (and can be assumed equal to unity). The motion of the body determined by the displacements $u_{i}{ }^{*}$ will be called perturbed, and the displacements $\alpha v_{i}$ will be called perturbations.

Let us introduce the displacement norm ( $V$ is the volume of the body)

$$
\| \mathbf{u}_{\|}=\left(\int_{V} u_{i} u_{i} d V\right)^{1 / v}
$$

Here and henceforth the repeated indices denote summation.
Definition. An unperturbed motion of a viscoelastic body will be called stable, if for any number $A>0$ a number $\delta=\delta(A)>0$ can be found such that for any initial distortion $\alpha v_{i}^{\circ}$ satisfying the inequality $\alpha\left\|v^{\circ}\right\|<\delta$. the corresponding displacements $\alpha v_{i}$ satisfy the inequality $\alpha\|v\|<A .0 \leqslant t<\infty$.

If the motion of the body is studied within a finite time interval $[0, T]$ and the critical value of the displacement norm $\|v\|^{*}$ is given, we can speak of the critical time $t_{*}$, defining it as the instant at which the displacement norm $\alpha\|\mathbf{v}\|$ first attains the value $\|v\|^{*}: \alpha \max \| \mathbf{v}$ $(t)\|<\| \mathbf{v} \|^{*}, 0 \leqslant t<t_{*}$ with $\alpha\left\|\mathbf{v}\left(t_{*}\right)\right\|=\|\mathbf{v}\|^{*}$.

We shall call the body stable in the time interval $[0, T]$, if $t_{*}>T$.
Analogous definitions of stability were used in connection with the non-homogeneousiy aging viscoelastic rods in $[1,2]$ where $\sup _{t, x}|y(t, x)|, x \in[0, l]$ ( $l$ is the rod length) was used as the rod deflection norm.

Assuming that the deformations are small, we write the equations of state for the material in the form /1/

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{i j}=\left(E_{i j k l}-\mathbf{R}_{i j k l}\right) \varepsilon_{k l} \\
& E_{i j k l}=E_{i j k l}(t+\rho(\mathbf{x})), \quad \mathbf{R}_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l}=\int_{0}^{t} R_{i j k l}^{\rho} \varepsilon_{k l}(\tau) d \tau, \quad R_{i j k l}^{\rho}=R_{i j k l}(t+\rho(\mathbf{x}), \tau+\rho(\mathbf{x}))
\end{aligned}
$$

The moduli of elasticity $E_{i f k l}$ and relaxation kernels $R_{i j k l}^{0}$ of the material satisfy the following relations:
*Prik1. Matem.Mekhan.,49,4,648-654,1985.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E_{i j k l}=E_{i j k l}^{*}=\text { const }  \tag{2}\\
& 0 \leqslant R_{i j k l}^{0} \leqslant R_{i j k l}^{*}(t, \tau), R_{i j k l}^{*}=\sup _{l \geq 0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} R_{i j k l}^{*}(t, \tau) d \tau \\
& \int_{T}^{t} \sup _{x}\left|R_{i j k l}^{p}-R_{i j k l}^{0}(t, \tau)\right| d \tau \rightarrow 0 \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty \\
& \lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{l \geq T} \int_{T}^{t} R_{i j k l}^{*}(t, \tau) d \tau=R_{i j k l}^{* \prime}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $\rho(x)$ which has continuous first derivatives in the whole region occupied by the body, determines the age of the material points with coordinates $x$, at the instant of application of the external load.

Assuming that the external loads are conservative (dead weight), we shall write the functional /3/ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial=\int_{V}\left[\frac{1}{2} E_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l}-\varepsilon_{i j}\left(\mathbf{R}_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l}\right)\right] d V-\int_{V} F_{i} u_{i}^{*} d V-\int_{\delta_{q}} q_{i} u_{i}^{*} d S \\
& \varepsilon_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}\right)+\alpha\left(v_{i, j}+v_{j, i}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\left[\left(u_{k, i}+\alpha v_{k, i}+\alpha v_{k, i}^{*}\right)\left(u_{k, j}+\alpha v_{k, j}+\alpha v_{k, j}^{\cdot}\right)-\alpha^{2} v_{k, i}^{\circ} v_{k, j}^{\circ}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us vary the functional $Э$ over the displacements $v_{i}$ at the running instant of time $t$ (the displacements $u_{i}$ corresponding to the unperturbed motion are not varied).

As we know /3/, the condition for the functional $Э$ to be stationary is, that its first variation is equal to zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Э=a \delta Э^{\prime}+a^{2} \delta Э^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\delta Э^{\prime}, 83^{\prime \prime}$ are the expressions in the variation $\delta Э$ accompanying the corresponding powers of the parameter $\alpha$.

We note that since the body is in equilibrium, the equation $\delta Э^{\prime}=0$ must be satisfied in the unperturbed motion. Then from (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \exists^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further assume that the displacements $u_{i}$ in the unperturbed motion of the viscoelastic body are small and can be found from the equations of the linear theory of viscoelasticity. In this case we can write Eq. (4) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{i}\left\{\delta v_{i, j}\left[\left(E_{i j k l}-\mathbf{R}_{i j k l}\right) v_{k, l}\right]+\sigma_{i j}\left(v_{k, i} \div v_{k, i}^{e}\right) \delta v_{k, j}\right\} d V=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i j}$ are the stresses in the unperturbed motion of the body and $\delta v_{i}$ are the variations in the displacements $v_{i}$. We note that (5) is equivalent to three equations of equilibrium of the body and the boundary conditions at its surface in the unperturbed motion written in terms of the perturbations.

Let us take, as the variations in the displacements $\delta v_{i}$, the displacements $v_{i}$ themselves. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int\left\{v_{i, j} l\left(E_{i j k i}-\mathbf{R}_{i j k i}\right) v_{k, l}\right]+\sigma_{i j}\left(v_{k, i}+v_{k, i}^{\circ}\right) v_{k, j}\right\} d V=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will assume that the external load acting on the body is one-parametric, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=-\beta \sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ}, \beta=\mathrm{const} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such, that for any instant of time $t \geqslant 0$ the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the homogeneous boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} E_{i j k l} v_{i, j} v_{k, l} d V=\lambda \int_{V} \sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ} v_{k, i} v_{k, j} d V \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is postive, i.e. $\quad \lambda_{1} \geqslant a>0$.
Let us denote by $v^{\prime}$ the vector with components $v_{i, j}\left(v^{\prime}=\left[\mathbf{v}_{1,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{1,3}, v_{2,1}, \ldots v_{3,3}\right]\right)$. We define the scalar product of two vectors $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}, \mathbf{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and the norm of the vector $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\left(v_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\int_{V} v_{i, j}^{(1)} v_{i, j}^{(2)} d V, \quad\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|=\left(\int_{V} v_{i, j} v_{i, j} d V\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Let us write Eq. (6) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=\beta I_{1}+\beta I_{2}+I_{s}  \tag{9}\\
& I=\int_{V} v_{i, j} E_{i j k t} v_{k, i} d V, \quad I_{1}=\int_{V} \sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ} v_{k, i} v_{k, j} d V \\
& I_{2}=\int_{V} \sigma_{i j} v^{\circ} v_{k, i}^{\circ} v_{k, j} d V, \quad I_{s}=\int_{V} v_{i, j}\left(\mathbf{R}_{i j k t} v_{k, i}\right) d V
\end{align*}
$$

We know /4/ that the homogeneous boundary value problem described by (8) is selfconjugate and its eigenvalues are real. Then we have /5/

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \geqslant \lambda_{1} I_{1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9), (10) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\beta \lambda_{1}\right) I \leqslant \beta I_{2}+I_{3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same representations we can write, in turn,

$$
I \geqslant \lambda_{1}^{*}\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

Thus the left-hand side of inequality (11) does not exceed the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\beta \lambda_{1}\right) \lambda_{1}^{*}\left\|v^{*}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \beta I_{2}+I_{3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that in general $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}{ }^{*}$ are functions of time, since $E_{i j k l}=E_{i j k l}(t \div \rho(x))$ and $\sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ}=\sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ}(t, x)$. Considering the finite time interval $[0, T]$, we choose on it the minimum value (denoting it by c) of the multiplier appearing on the left-hand side of inequality (12).

Let $|\sigma|_{\text {max }}$ be the principal stress, largest in modulo, at a point of the body depending also on $x$ and $t$. Then, applying the Cauchy inequality, we write the following estimate for the first term on the right-hand side of (12):

$$
I_{2} \leqslant \sigma\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathbf{v}^{0}\right\|, \quad \sigma=\sup _{x \in v}|\sigma|_{\max }
$$

To estimate the second term in the same inequality, we use the largest eigenvalue $R_{\text {max }}(t$, r) of the 9 -th order matrix $R_{i j k}^{0}$.

The relation $/ 6 / R_{\text {max }}(t, \tau) \leqslant \sqrt{\Sigma\left(R_{i j k i}^{j}\right)^{2}}$ holds for $R_{\max }(t, \tau)$. If $R_{i j k i}^{*}(t, \tau) \geqslant R_{i j k l}^{p}$, then $R_{\max }(t, \tau) \leqslant \sqrt{\Sigma\left(R_{i j k l}^{*}(t, \tau)\right)^{2}}=R(t, \tau)$ and we have

$$
\int_{V} v_{i, j}(t, x) \int_{0}^{t} R_{i j k}^{p} v_{k, 1}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) d V d \tau \leqslant\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \int_{0}^{t} R(t, \tau)\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(\tau)\right\| d \tau
$$

As a result, we can write (12) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& c \mathrm{i} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\left\|c_{2}+\int_{0}^{t} R(t, \tau)\right\| \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(\tau) \| d \tau,  \tag{13}\\
& \epsilon_{1}=\beta o\left\|\mathbf{v}^{0^{*}}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

If the function $R(t, \tau)$ has no weak singularity at $t=\tau$, we car find a function $R_{1}(\tau)$ in the time interval $[0, T]$ such, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(\tau)=\sup _{t \in[0, \tau]} R(t, \tau) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (13) will yield the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{c}+\frac{1}{c} \int_{0}^{t} R_{1}(\tau)\left\|v^{\prime}(\tau)\right\| d \tau \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, on the other hand, the function $R(t, \tau)$ has a weak singularity at $t=\tau$, then we can handle the inequality just as was done in $/ 2 /$. Thus we pass, in the inequality (13), from the kernel $R(t, \tau)$ to the itexated kernel. As we know $/ 7 /$, from some number $n$ onwaras the iterated kernels become regular, and we can find the function $\boldsymbol{R}_{1}(\tau)$ for such kernels. As a result, we pass from inequality (13) to an inequality analogous to (15).

Applying to the inequality (15) the Gronwali-Bellman lemma/8/we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leqslant \frac{e_{1}}{c} \exp \left[\frac{1}{c} \int_{0}^{t} R_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To study the stability of the body over an infinite time interval, we return to Eq. (6), rewriting it as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} v_{i, j} E_{i j k t}^{*} v_{k, l} d V-\beta K_{1}=K_{2}+K_{3}+\beta K_{4}+\beta K_{b} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=\int_{V} \sigma_{i j}^{*} v_{k, i} v_{k, j} d V, \quad K_{2}=\int_{V} v_{i, j}\left(E_{i j k l}^{*}-E_{i j k l}\right) v_{k, l} d V \\
& K_{3}=\int_{V} v_{i, j} \mathbf{R}_{i j k l} v_{k, l} d V, \quad K_{4}=\int_{V}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{0}-\sigma_{i j}^{*}\right) v_{k, i} v_{k, j} d V \\
& K_{5}=\int_{V} \sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ} v_{k, i}^{0} v_{k, j} d V
\end{aligned}
$$

In accordance with the constraints (2) imposed on the functions $E_{i j k l}(t+\rho(x)), R_{i j k l}, \sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ}(t$, $x$ ), we can find for an arbitrarily small number $A>0$, an instant of time $T=T(A)$ such that the following inequalities will hold for every instant of time $t>T$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|E_{i j k l}^{e}-E_{i j k l}\right|<A, \quad\left|\sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ}-\sigma_{i j}^{*}\right|<A \\
& \int_{i} \sup _{\mathbf{x}}\left|R_{i j k l}^{0}(t, \tau)-R_{i j k l}^{0}\right| d \tau<A
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the following relations for $t>T$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{2}<9 A\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}, K_{4}<3 A\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{18}\\
& K_{s}=\int_{i^{\prime}} v_{i . j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \int_{0}^{t} R_{i j k l}^{\rho} c_{k, i}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) d \tau d V^{\prime}= \\
& \int_{i} r_{i, j}(t, x)\left\{\int_{0}^{T} R_{i j k i}^{\mathrm{\rho}} \nu_{k, l}(\tau, x) d \tau-\right. \\
& \int_{i}^{t}\left[P_{i j k l}^{r}-R_{i j k l}^{c}\langle t, \tau)\right] c_{k, l}(\tau, \mathrm{x}) d \tau- \\
& \left.\int_{T}^{1} R_{i j k}^{e}(t, \tau) v_{k, I}(\tau, x) d \tau\right\} d V^{\prime}<9.4 \mid v^{\prime}(t), \| \omega(t)!-
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{5}=\prod_{\dot{j}}\left(\sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ}-\sigma_{i j}{ }^{*}\right) v_{k, i}^{c} v_{h, j} d V-\int_{i \cdot} \sigma_{i j}{ }^{*} v_{h, i}^{\circ} v_{k, j} d V< \\
& 3 . A \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t): \mathbf{v}^{-1}-3 \sigma^{*} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}^{-\prime \prime} \\
& R^{*^{\prime}}=\max _{i, j, k, 1} R_{i j k i}^{*}, \quad \sigma^{*}=\max _{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{i}} \sigma_{\text {max }}^{*} \\
& \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(T)\|=\max \left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \text { when } 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T \\
& \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|=\max \left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \quad \text { wher. } T \leqslant t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{\max }^{*}$ is the principal stress largest in moduio, at the point at which the stress state is characterizea by the tensor $\sigma_{i j}{ }^{*}$.

Taking into account (18) we obtain, from (17),

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{*}<\beta K_{1}+\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|\left(12 A\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|-3 A \beta\left\|\mathbf{r}^{0}\right\|+\right.  \tag{19}\\
& \left.3 \sigma^{*} \beta\left\|\mathbf{v}^{3}\right\|+9 A\|\omega(t)\|-9 R^{*}\|\omega(T)\|\right)-K_{6} \\
& K^{*}=\prod_{i}^{0} r_{i, j}(t, \mathbf{x})\left(E_{i j h}^{0}-R_{i j k}^{*}\right) r_{i, l}^{\prime}(t, \mathbf{x}) d V^{-}
\end{align*}
$$

In accordance with conditions (2) we have

$$
\int_{i}^{i} R_{i j k i}^{=}(t-\tau) d \tau=R_{i j k i}^{: \cdot}+A
$$

Then $(\delta(t-\tau)$ is the delta function)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t}^{t} R_{i j k l}^{\prime}(t, \tau) v_{k, l}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) d \tau-R_{j, j k}^{\prime \prime} c_{k, l}(t, \mathbf{x})=  \tag{20}\\
& \quad \int_{t}^{t}\left[R_{i j k l}^{\circ}(t, \tau)-\delta(t-\tau) R_{i j k l}^{\prime \prime}\right] v_{k, l}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) d \tau \leqslant A_{\omega_{k, l}}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\
& \omega_{k, l}(t, \mathbf{x})=\sup \left|v_{k, l}(t, \mathbf{x})\right| \quad \text { when } \quad t \geqslant T
\end{align*}
$$

The last term of relation (19) can be estimated, taking inequality (20) into account, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{6} \leqslant 18 A\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \cdot\|\omega(t)\| \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, inequality (19) becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
K^{*}<\beta K_{1}+\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|\left(12 A\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|+27 A\|\omega(t)\|+\right.  \tag{22}\\
\left.3 A \beta\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\circ}\right\|+3 \beta \sigma^{*}\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\circ}\right\|+9 R^{*}\|\omega(T)\|\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us consider a homogeneous boundary value problem for which we have the corresponding equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{*}=\lambda K_{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we know /4/, the above problem is selfconjugate and its eigenvalues are real. Let us make a natural assumption as regards the symmetric matrix $E_{i j k l}^{\circ}-R_{i j k l}^{\cdot}$, namely, that all its eigenvalues are positive.

It can be shown that in the case when the stresses $\sigma_{i j}{ }^{*}$ are small, the functional $K^{*}-K_{1}$ is positive definite (excluding from our discussion the possibility of rigid displacements of the body). Then $/ 5 /$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{*} \geqslant \lambda_{1} K_{1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the homogeneous boundary value problem (23). As we know /5/, the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{*} \geqslant \lambda_{1}^{o}\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}{ }^{0}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the homogeneous boundary value problem

$$
K^{*}=\lambda \int_{t} A_{i j k l} v_{i, j} v_{k, l} d V, \quad A_{i j k l}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, i=j=k=l \\
0 \text { in all remaining cases }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, taking into account the estimates (24), (25), we can write inequality (22) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-\beta^{\prime} \lambda_{1}\right) \lambda_{1}\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}<\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|\left(12 A\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\|+18 A\|\omega(t)\|+\right.  \tag{26}\\
& \left.3 A \beta\left\|\mathbf{v}^{c^{*}}\right\|+3 \sigma^{*} \beta\left\|\mathbf{v}^{c^{\prime}}\right\|+9 R^{*}\|\omega(T)\|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

from which follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda_{1}-\beta-30 A \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{0}\right)\|\omega(t)\|<3\left[\left(A+\sigma^{*}\right) \beta\left\|v^{0^{\circ}}\right\|+\right.  \tag{27}\\
& \left.3 R^{*}\|\omega(T)\|\right], t>T
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that the region occupied by the body is star-like relative to all points of some sphere lying within the region in question, we can write the inequality /9/

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{v}(t)\| \leqslant c^{*}\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leqslant c^{*}\|\omega(t)\| \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{*}$ is a constant depending only on the geometry of the body.
Thus from the inequalities (27), (28) it follows that the body in question is stable over an infinite time interval, provided that $\lambda_{1}>\beta$. This implies, in particular, that when the stresses $\sigma_{i j}{ }^{\circ}$ are constant with respect to time, the value of the critical time is found in the same manner as in case of an elastic body whose moduli of elasticity are replaced by the sustained moduli $E_{i j k i}-R_{i j k i}^{\prime}$.

The stability of the body over a finite time interval can be studied using the inequality (16), (28), from which we obtain

$$
\|\mathbf{v}(t)\| \leqslant J, \quad J=b \exp \left[\frac{1}{c} \int_{0}^{1} R_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right], \quad b=c^{*} \frac{c_{1}}{c}
$$

The quantity which gives a lower estimate for the critical time can be found from the non-linear equation

$$
J=\|v\|^{*}
$$
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# generalized solutions of the dynamic problem of PERFECT ELASTOPLASTICITY * 

S.B. KUKSIN

The concept of a generalized solution of an initial boundary value problem for the system of Pradtl-Reuss equations is introduced. It is shown that a generalized solution exists and is unique, and represents within the domain of elasticity a solution of the initial-boundary value problem of the dynamic theory of elasticity. An effective method for the approximate determination of the generalized solution is given, and conditions at its strong discontinuities are obtained. The basic results of this paper were published earlier without proof in $/ 1,2 /$.

1. The Prandtl-Reuss equations. Let a perfect elastoplastic body occupy a three-dimensional region $\Omega$ with a smooth boundary $D$. The state of the body is characterized, in Lagrange coordinates, by the stress tensor $\tau_{i j}(t, x)$, the velocity of the body particles $v_{i}(t$, r), the elastic strain rate tensor $\varepsilon_{i j}(v)=\left(v_{i, j}+v_{j, i}\right) / 2$ and the plastic strain rate tensor $\lambda_{i j}(f, x) \quad\left(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3,0 \leqslant t \leqslant T, x \in \Omega\right.$ everywhere). We assurne that the measurable part $D_{1}$ of the boundary $D$ is free and, that the displacement rate is specified on the part $D_{2}=D \backslash D_{1}$. The density of the body is assumed constant. Assuming that it is equal to unity, we write the equations of elastoplastic flow and initial-boundary conditions $/ 3 /$ thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i j k} \tau_{k h}-\varepsilon_{i j}(v) \div \lambda_{i j}=0  \tag{1.1}\\
v_{i}^{\prime}-\tau_{i j, j}=F_{i}(t, x)  \tag{1.2}\\
\left(\tau_{i j} n_{j}\right)(t, x)=0, \quad x \cong D_{i} ; \quad v_{i}(t, x)=v_{i}^{*}(t, x), \quad x \subseteq D_{2}  \tag{1.3}\\
\tau_{i j}(0, x)=\tau_{0_{i j}}(x), \quad v_{i}(0, x)=v_{0 i}(x) \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a_{i j h}$ are the coefficients of elasticity, $n_{i}(x), x \in D$ is the outer normal to $\Omega$, and a prime denotes a time differential. We will supplement (1.1)-(1.4) with the von Mises condition of plasticity $/ 3 /\left(\tau_{i j}, D\right.$ is the deviator of the tensor $\left.T_{i j}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{i j} D(t, x) \tau_{i j} D(t, x) \leqslant c_{*}^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations (1.1)-(1.5)are closed by the Prandtl-Reuss relations connecting the stresses withthe plastic strain rate

$$
\lambda_{i j}(t, x)=x \sigma_{i j} b(t, x), \quad x \geqslant 0
$$

where $x=0$ when inequality (1.5) is rigorously satisfied. The Prandtl-Reuss relations can be conveniently replaced by the equivalent Drucker postulate / / / We shall write it in the integrated form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \lambda_{i j}(t, x)\left(\tau_{i j}(t, x)-\sigma_{i j}(t, x)\right) d x \geqslant 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i j}$ is a tensor field continuously differentiable in $[0, T] \times(\Omega \cup D)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{i j} D_{\sigma_{i}}, D\right)(t, x) \leqslant c_{*}^{2} ; \quad \sigma_{i j}(t, x) n_{j}(x)=0, \quad \forall x \in D_{1} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial-boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.7)was studied earlier by Duvaut and Lions, who showed in $/ 6 /$ the unique solvability of the evolutionary variational inequality following from (1.1) - (1.7), satisfied by the stress tensor integrated with respect to time. Below we apply

